## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

## **ORDER OF REFERENCE**

Check if previously referred

LITCHFIELD FINANCIAL						
BUYERS SO	V. CA/CR No. <u>04-30076</u> URCE REAL ESTATE Criminal Category					
	with 28 U.S.C. §636 and the Rules for United States Magistrates in the United States District Court for the sachusetts, the above-entitled case is referred to Magistrate Judge Neiman for the seedings:					
(A)	Referred for full pretrial case management, including all dispositive motions.					
(B) X	Referred for full pretrial case management, not including dispositive motions:					
(C)	Referred for discovery purposes only.					
(D)	Referred for Report and Recommendation on:					
	<ul> <li>( ) Motion(s) for injunctive relief</li> <li>( ) Motion(s) for judgment on the pleadings</li> <li>( ) Motion(s) for summary judgment</li> <li>( ) Motion(s) to permit maintenance of a class action</li> <li>( ) Motion(s) to suppress evidence</li> <li>( ) Motion(s) to dismiss</li> <li>( ) Post Conviction Proceedings<sup>1</sup></li> <li>See Documents Numbered:</li> </ul>					
(E)	Case referred for events only. See Doc. No(s).					
(F)	Case referred for settlement.					
(G)	Service as a special master for hearing, determination and report, subject to the terms of the special order filed herewith: ( ) In accordance with Rule 53, F.R.Civ.P. ( ) In accordance with 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(f)(5)					
(H)	Special Instructions: SCHEDULING CONFERENCE					
September 9, Date	2005 By: <u>/s/ Elizabeth A. French</u> Deputy Clerk					
(Order of Ref to MJ.wpd - 05/2003)						

See reverse side of order for instructions

## **INSTRUCTIONS FOR POST-CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS**

In accordance proceeding is re			255 cases the magis	trate judge to whom this post-conviction		
	Make a recommendation as to summary dismissal under Rule 4 of the Rules for §2254 and §2255 cases					
	Appoint counsel if the interests of justice so require					
	Order issuance of appropriate process, if necessary					
	Hold a hearing to determine whether or not an evidentiary hearing must be held and make a recommendation to the district judge					
	If the magistrate judge expects to recommend that an evidentiary hearing be held, the magistrate judge shall hold a pretrial conference for the purpose of narrowing the issue to be tried and submit a memo to the district judge setting forth:					
	(a)	a concise summary of the u (1) petitioner (2)	lltimate facts claimed respondent	by (3) other parties;		
	<ul> <li>(b) the facts established by the pleadings or by stipulations of the parties which may be incorpo by reference;</li> <li>(c) any jurisdictional questions;</li> <li>(d) issues of law, including evidentiary questions;</li> <li>(e) the probable length of the evidentiary hearing.</li> </ul>					
		nay also require the parties to and submit a schedule of, ex		witnesses whom they intend to produce, and to ect to offer in evidence.		
	As to any issue concerning which the magistrate judge does not intend to recommend an evidentiary hearing, the magistrate judge shall submit a memo which shall:  (a) identify the relevant portions of the record or transcript of prior proceedings;					
	(b) summarize the relevant facts;					
	(c)	summarize the parties' cont	entions of law with ap	opropriate citations;		
	(d) state the recommendations as to the disposition of such contentions of law, and the therefore.					

(Postconv.ins - 09/92)

(Order of Ref to MJ.wpd - 1/20/03)